

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/576,623	Applicant(s)	
	Examiner KUBELIK	Art Unit 1638	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anne Kubelik

(3) John Carmen

(2) Alan Howarth

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 March 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Summary - Powerpoint demonstration - start of articles

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Inventor summarized invention & pointed out pg 11-15 of Powerpoint presentation as being key, particularly timing of mRNA & embryo development.
Indefiniteness of claims explained by Examiner & it was suggested that claims be narrowed to reflect what inventor summarized in interview as being the invention.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

[Signature]
Examiner's signature, if required